Approved For Release 2003/03/25: CIA-RDP59-00882R000100370003-5

CONFIDENTIAL

**OGC Has Reviewed** 

24 April 1956

MEMORANDIN POR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Congressional Cosmittee Subposess to Heads of Executive Departments

1. The precedent for refusing information to Congress was set by Washington in 1792 when the House, basing its right to investigate on its control of expenditures, asked the President for papers pertaining to the General St. Clair compaign. Washington and his cabinet came to the unanimous conclusion:

"First, that the House was an inquest, and therefore might institute inquiries. Second, that it might call for papers generally. Third, that the Executive cucht to communicate such papers as the public good would permit, and ought to refuse those, the disclosure of which would injure the public: consequently were to exercise a discretion. Fourth, that patter the committee nor House has a right to call on the Head of a Department vio and make papers were under the President alone; but that the committee should instruct their chairmen to move the House to address the President." (Emphasis supplied)

Washington followed his own procedent in 1796 when presented with a resolution of the Bouse of Representatives requesting a copy of his instructions to the Minister of the United States who negotiated the Treaty with the King of Great Britan, together with the correspondence and documents relative to that Treaty. In closing his message refusing compliance with the House's request he stated:

As, therefore, it is perfectly elear to my understanding that the essent of the House of Representatives is not assessary to the validity of a treaty; ... and as it is essential to the due administration of the Government that the boundaries fixed by the Constitution between the different departments should be pruserved, as a just regard to the Constitution and to the duty of my office, under all the circumstances of this case, forbids a compliance with your request."

## CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Congressional Committee Subposmes to Essentive Departments

- 2. Washington's precedent has been followed on memorous occasions since. The Congress and various of its Committeeshave frequently seen fit to request or demand papers or testimony from the President or from Heads of Executive Departments. No Department Head has complied with such a request when the President decmed a reply undesirable and the request an invasion of the Executive's percentives. Actually, few of the precedents are in cases of demand for personal appearances alone, but most involve demands on the part of congressional committees to see records. The principle, however, involves information in any form, not just records. Following are pertinent recent cases.
  - a. The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation refused to give testimony or to exhibit a copy of the President's directive requiring him, in the interest of national security, to refrain from testifying or from disclosing the contents of the Dureau's reports and activities.
  - b. The Director of the Bureau of the Budget refused to testify and to produce the Bureau's files, pursuant to subposes which had been served upon him, because the Fresident had instructed him not to make public the records of the Bureau due to their confidential nature. Public interest was again invoked to prevent disclosure.
  - c. The Secretaries of War and Many were directed not to deliver documents which the counittee had requested, on grounds of public interest. The Secretaries, in their can judgment, refused parmission to Army and Many officers to appear and testify because they felt that it would be contrary to the public interests.
  - d. In March 1948 Dr. John R. Steelman, Confidential Adviser to the President, refused to appear before the Committee on Education and Labor of the House, following the service of two subposess upon him. The President directed him not to expeat.
- 3. Most of the above material was presented to the President in May 1954 in a monomodum on separation of powers by Attorney General Brownell. Mr. Brosnell closed his memorandum to the President with the following:

2

## Approved For Release 2002/03/65 - GIA-RDR59-00882R000100370003-5

SUBJECT: Congressional Committee Subpospes to Beads of Executive Departments

Thus, you can see that the Presidents of the United States have withheld information of Executive departments or agencies whenever it was found that the information sought was confidential or that its disclosure would be incompatible with the public interest or jacpardize the safety of the nation. The courts too have held that the question whether the production of the papers was contrary to the public interest, was a matter for the Executive to determine.

"By keeping the lines which separate and divide the three great branches of our Government clearly defined, no one branch has been able to encroach upon the powers of the other.

"Upon this firm principle our country's strength, liberty and democratic form of government will continue to endure."

- a. Mr. Brownell's position, as stated in closing his memorandum to the President, accords with the conclusions reached by a long line of predecessors in the office of Attorney General and with the position taken by Presidents since Washington's administration. It was restated in well reasoned detail by Attorney General Robert Jackson in 1941, when he declined the request of the House Committee on Mawal Affairs to furnish reports in connection with Department of Justice investigations arising out of labor disturbances and subversive activities in industrial establishments having nevel contracts. (40 Op. A.G. No. 8.)
- 5. In addition to the line of precedent set forth above the Director, if requested to give information to a Congressional Committee, may site his duty to comply with Matienal Security Council Intelligence Directive No. 12, "Avoidance of Publicity Concerning the Intelligence Agencies of the U. S. Government." Faregraph 3 directs that:

"In cases where the disclosure of classified information is sought from the Director of Cantral Intelligence, and he has doubt as to whether he should comply, the question will be referred to the Mational Security Council."

Distribution:

Subject V Orig: DCI w/route slip (see cc attached)
Signer cc: IG
OGC chrono
OGC:JTM:kf:ap

GUEST ENTIAL WE